## Complete the following article using the correct form of the words on the right.

## J. K. Rowling determined to block RDR **Books' Harry Potter 'rip-off'**

by Dalya Alberge, Arts Correspondent, The Times

J. K. Rowling may be no stranger to battles against evil wizards and magical beasts but	
in her latest tussle she is preparing to appear in person in the American courts.	
The notoriously shy author is ready to testify herself to protect her1	own
of Harry Potter against RDR Books, an independent American²,	publish
next month. A U.S. court is to decide whether she can block the publication of	
an encyclopaedia of the wizarding world that she created.	authorise
Rowling and Warner Bros., the Hollywood studio behind the epic film	
4 of the Hogwarts adventures, are taking legal action to stop	adapt
RDR from profiting from a Harry Potter Lexicon, a 400-page guide to the Potter books	
and movies.	
Accusing the book of lifting 2,034 of its 2,437 entries straight from her work, Rowling	
condemned the Lexicon as "a Harry Potter 'rip-off' [that] interferes with my rights as	
a creator and copyright5". She noted that RDR's attempt "to co-opt	hold
my work for6 gain" was out of keeping with the spirit of the Potter	finance
stories, which are "full of moral choices and ethical dilemmas". She claims that the	
RDR book is not only an7 of her copyright but undermines her own	infringe
planned lexicon. As she will donate its royalties to charity, "the8	lose
in such a situation would be the charities that I hope, eventually, to benefit".	
David S. Hammer, co-counsel for RDR Books, said: "The Harry Potter Lexicon draws	
material and9 from the Harry Potter series but is an entirely new piece	inspire
of work. It is a companion to Rowling's work, not a substitute for it".	
In Britain and the U.S. the work's <sup>10</sup> holds the copyright; this prevents	create
substantial passages being reproduced without1. In Britain the "fair	permit
dealing" provision allows use of copyright work for12 and review. In the	criticize
U.S. rule, the third party must make a contribution in reproducing material.	

How do you think the court decided?

sa le ter